

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

MONDAY, THE 8TH OCTOBER 2007 / 16TH ASWINA 1929

WP(C).No. 29635 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

N.ASHARAF,
LAVANYA TOURS AND TRAVELS,
DOWN HILL P.O.,
MALAPPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.M.K.CHANDRA MOHANDAS

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
PALAKKAD.

2. THE SECRETARY, THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT
AUTHORITY, PALAKKAD.

BY ADV. SHRI.I.V.PRAMOD, GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 08/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

WP(C) No. 29635 of 2007

Dated, this the 8th day of October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The prayer in this writ petition is for a direction to consider Ext.P1 application for permit submitted by the petitioner. The petitioner complains that the application has been adjourned, since he has not been offered any ready vehicle. Relying on the judgment in **E.P.Alavikutty Vs. Regional Transport Authority and Others**, reported in 2005 (1) K L J 205, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is not mandatory to furnish the details of vehicle at the time of considering the application for permit.

2. The learned Government Pleader on the other hand submits that it is a scheme covered area and therefore, there is no merit in this application. Be that as it may, without entering into the controversy, I dispose of the writ petition directing that final decision should be taken by the 1st respondent, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment before the respondents for compliance.

(ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.)